


Key Metrics

- + **Earnings Scorecard:** Of the 323 companies that have reported earnings to date for Q4 2014, 78% have reported earnings above the mean estimate and 59% have reported sales above the mean estimate.
- + **Earnings Growth:** The blended earnings growth rate for Q4 2014 is 3.0%. The Health Care and Telecom Services sectors are reporting the highest growth in earnings for the quarter, while the Energy sector is reporting the largest decline in earnings for the quarter.
- + **Earnings Revisions:** On December 31, the estimated earnings growth rate for Q4 2014 was 1.7%. Five sectors have higher growth rates today (compared to December 31) due to upside earnings surprises, led by the Information Technology sector.
- + **Earnings Guidance:** For Q1 2015, 52 companies have issued negative EPS guidance and 10 companies have issued positive EPS guidance.
- + **Valuation:** The current 12-month forward P/E ratio is 16.9. This P/E ratio is well above the 5-year (13.6) average and the 10-year (14.1) average.



All data published in this report is available on FactSet. Please contact media_request@factset.com or 1-877-FACTSET for more information.

Topic of the Week:

Earnings Growth Story Not All Negative for S&P 500 Energy Sector for Q4


During the past week, the price of crude oil increased by 4.6% (to \$50.48 from \$48.24). While a continuation of this price increase may boost the earnings of S&P 500 Energy sector companies in Q1, it will not be of any help to the earnings of Energy companies for Q4. As of today, the Energy sector is reporting the largest year-over-year decline in earnings of all ten sectors in the S&P 500 at -21.5%.

However, looking deeper into the sector, the earnings growth news is not all negative. At the sub-industry level, two of the seven sub-industries in the sector are actually reporting double-digit earnings growth: Oil & Gas Equipment & Services and Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing.

The Oil & Gas Equipment & Services sub-industry is reporting the highest earnings growth of all seven sub-industries in the Energy sector at 22.9%. All six companies in this sub-industry are expected to report or have reported EPS growth for the quarter, led by Baker Hughes (\$1.44 vs. \$0.62). It is interesting to note that these six companies have seen an average price decline of 3.5% since December 31, compared to an average price increase of 1.7% for all the companies in the Energy sector.

The Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing sub-industry is reporting the second highest earning growth of all seven sub-industries in the Energy sector at 18.5%. All four companies in this sub-industry are expected to report or have reported EPS growth for the quarter, led by Tesoro (\$1.44 vs. \$0.04). These four companies have seen an average price increase of 10.6% since December 31, compared to an average price increase of 1.7% for all the companies in the Energy sector during this time.

Looking ahead to 2015, these two sub-industries are not expected to see earnings growth continue. For 2015, the Oil & Gas Equipment & Services sub-industry is projected to report a year-over-year decline in earnings of 33.7%, while the Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing sub-industry is projected to report a year-over-year decrease of 11.6%. The only sub-industry in the Energy sector currently expected to report year-over-year earnings growth in 2015 is the Oil & Gas Transportation & Storage sub-industry (13.8%).



Q4 2014 Earnings Season: By the Numbers

Overview

With 323 companies in the S&P 500 reporting actual results for Q4 to date, the percentage of companies reporting actual EPS above estimates (78%) is above the 5-year average, while the percentage of companies reporting actual sales above estimates (59%) is equal to the 5-year average. In aggregate, companies are surpassing earnings estimates by 4.0%.

As a result of these upside earnings surprises, the blended (combines actual results for companies that have reported and estimated results for companies yet to report) earnings growth rate for Q4 2014 is now 3.0%. This growth rate is above the estimate of 1.7% at the end of the fourth quarter (December 31). At the sector level, the Health Care and Telecom Services sectors are reporting the highest year-over-year growth in earnings, while the Energy sector is reporting the largest year-over-year decline in earnings.

As a result of upside revenue surprises, the blended revenue growth rate for Q4 2014 is 1.6%, which is above the estimate of 1.1% at the end of the fourth quarter (December 31). At the sector level, the Health Care sector is reporting the highest year-over-year growth in revenue, while the Energy sector is reporting the largest year-over-year decline in revenue.

During the remainder of the Q4 earnings season, the market will likely to continue to watch for comments from companies regarding the impact of lower oil and gas prices, and also the impact of improving economic conditions in the U.S. (and the stronger U.S. dollar) compared to slowing economic conditions in Europe and Asia.

In addition, the market will likely watch for corporate comments regarding prospects for the current quarter. For Q1 2015, 52 S&P 500 companies have issued negative EPS guidance and 10 have issued positive EPS guidance. The percentage of companies issuing negative EPS guidance for Q1 2015 is 84% (52 out of 62), which is above the 5-year average of 68%.

Looking at the first half of 2015, analysts are now projecting year-over-year declines in both earnings and revenues for both Q1 2015 and Q2 2015, compared to expectations for earnings and revenue growth back on December 31. Most of these downward estimate revisions have occurred in the Energy sector. Despite the estimate reductions in the first half of 2015, analysts are looking for record-level EPS in the second half of 2015. Analysts also expect net profit margins to rise (based on per-share estimates) starting in Q2 2015.

The forward 12-month P/E ratio is 16.9, which is well above the 5-year and 10-year averages.

During the upcoming week, 67 S&P 500 companies (including 2 Dow 30 components) are scheduled to report results for the fourth quarter.

More Companies (78%) Beating EPS Estimates than Average

With 323 companies in the S&P 500 reporting actual results for Q4 to date, the percentage of companies reporting actual EPS above estimates (78%) is above the 5-year average, while the percentage of companies reporting actual sales above estimates (59%) is equal to the 5-year average.

Highest Percentage of Companies Beating EPS Estimates (78%) Since Q2 2010 (79%)

Of the 323 companies that have reported earnings to date for Q4 2014, 78% have reported actual EPS above the mean EPS estimate and 22% have reported actual EPS below the mean EPS estimate. The percentage of companies reporting EPS above the mean EPS estimate is above both the 1-year (74%) average and the 5-year (73%) average. If 78% is the final percentage for the quarter, it will mark the highest percentage of S&P 500 companies reporting actual EPS above estimates for a quarter since Q2 2010 (79%).

At the sector level, the Health Care (93%) and Information Technology (88%) sectors have the highest percentages of companies reporting earnings above estimates, while the Utilities (29%) and Telecom Services (33%) sectors have the lowest percentages of companies reporting earnings above estimates.

Market Rewarding Earnings Beats and Not Punishing Earnings Misses

To date, the market is rewarding upside earnings surprises more than average and punishing downside earnings surprises less than average.

Companies that have reported upside earnings surprises for Q4 2014 have seen an average increase in price of 1.8% two days before the earnings release through two days after the earnings release. This percentage is above the 5-year average price increase of 1.0% during this same window for companies reporting upside earnings surprises.

Companies in the index that have reported downside earnings surprises for Q4 2014 have seen an average decline in price of 1.5% during this window. This percentage is below the 5-year average price decrease of 2.3% during this same window for companies reporting downside earnings surprises.

Earnings Surprise Percentage (4.0%) Below Recent Quarters Due to Financials Sector

In aggregate, companies are reporting earnings that are 4.0% above expectations. This surprise percentage is slightly below the 1-year (+4.2%) average and below the 5-year (+5.5%) average.

Companies in the Consumer Discretionary (+10.7%), Materials (+8.2%), and Information Technology (+8.0%) sectors are reporting the largest upside aggregate differences between actual earnings and estimated earnings.

In the Consumer Discretionary sector, Netflix (\$1.35 vs. \$0.45), Amazon.com (\$0.45 vs. \$0.18), PulteGroup (\$0.58 vs. \$0.40), and General Motors (\$1.19 vs. \$0.83) have reported the largest positive surprises.

In the Materials sector, Monsanto (\$0.47 vs. \$0.34), Dow Chemical (\$0.85 vs. \$0.69), and Alcoa (\$0.33 vs. \$0.28) have reported the largest upside differences between actual EPS and estimated EPS.

In the Information Technology sector, Juniper Networks (\$0.41 vs. \$0.31), KLA-Tencor (\$0.68 vs. \$0.51), and Electronic Arts (\$1.22 vs. \$0.92) have reported the highest positive earnings surprises. However, the upside earnings surprise reported by Apple (\$3.06 vs. \$2.60) is the largest contributor to the 8.0% surprise percentage for the sector.

On the other hand, companies in the Financials (-2.2%) sector are reporting the largest downside aggregate differences between actual earnings and estimated earnings. In this sector, Citigroup (\$0.06 vs. \$0.10), Discover Financial Services (\$0.87 vs. \$1.30), Morgan Stanley (\$0.39 vs. \$0.56), and Bank of America (\$0.25 vs. \$0.31) have reported the largest downside earnings surprises.

Percentage of Companies Beating Revenue Estimates (59%) is Equal to 5-Year Average

In terms of revenues, 59% of companies have reported actual sales above estimated sales and 41% have reported actual sales below estimated sales. The percentage of companies reporting sales above estimates is slightly below the 1-year (60%) average, but equal to the 5-year average (59%).

At the sector level, the Utilities (86%) and Health Care (76%) sectors have the highest percentages of companies reporting revenue above estimates, while the Consumer Staples (47%) and Materials (48%) sectors have the lowest percentages of companies reporting revenue above estimates.

Revenue Surprise Percentage (1.6%) Above Recent Averages

In aggregate, companies are reporting sales that are 1.6% above expectations. This surprise percentage is well above the 1-year (+0.7%) average and the 5-year (+0.7%) average.

Companies in the Utilities (6.2%) and Energy (+5.5%) sectors are reporting the largest upside aggregate differences between actual sales and estimated sales, while companies in the Consumer Staples (-1.8%) and Materials (-1.0%) sectors are reporting the largest downside aggregate differences between actual sales and estimated sales.

Blended Earnings Growth Rises This Week Due to Upside Surprises in Consumer Discretionary

Blended Earnings Growth Rises This Week Due to Upside Surprises for Consumer Companies

The blended earnings growth rate for the fourth quarter is 3.0% this week, up from the blended earnings growth rate of 2.0% last week. Upside earnings surprises reported by companies in the Consumer Discretionary sector accounted for almost half of the increase in the growth rate this week.

In the Consumer Discretionary sector, upside earnings surprises reported by General Motors (\$1.19 vs. \$0.83), Walt Disney Company (\$1.27 vs. \$1.07), and Twenty-First Century Fox (\$0.53 vs. \$0.41) were significant contributors to the increase in the earnings growth rate for the index this week. As a result, the blended earnings growth rate for the Consumer Discretionary sector increased to 9.3% from 4.9% over this time frame.

Outside of Consumer Discretionary sector, eight other sectors witnessed increases in earnings growth this week due to upside earnings surprises, led by the Materials (to 0.0% from -2.3%), Health Care (to 21.2% from 19.0%), and Energy (-21.5% from -22.8%) sectors.

Information Technology Sector Has Seen Largest Increase in Growth since December 31

The blended earnings growth rate for Q4 2014 of 3.0% is above the estimate of 1.7% at the end of the fourth quarter (December 31). Five sectors have recorded an increase in expected earnings growth during this time due to upside earnings surprises, led by the Information Technology (to 9.3% from 2.2%) sector. Five sectors have recorded a decline in expected earnings growth since the end of the quarter due to downside earnings surprises and downward revisions to earnings estimates, led by the Telecom Services (to 21.1% from 27.7%), Financials (to -4.0 from 0.4%), and Energy (to -21.5% from -17.5%) sectors.

Blended Earnings Growth: 3.0%

The blended earnings growth rate for Q4 2014 is 3.0%. Five sectors are reporting higher earnings relative to a year ago, led by the Health Care and Telecom Services sectors. One sector (Materials) is reporting no earnings growth (0.0%) compared to last year. Four sectors are reporting lower earnings relative to last year, led by the Energy sector.

Health Care: Gilead Sciences Leads Growth

The Health Care sector is reporting the highest earnings growth rate at 21.2%. At the industry level, all six industries in the sector are reporting or are expected to report earnings growth, led by the Biotechnology industry (95%). At the company level, Gilead Sciences is the largest contributor to earnings growth for the sector. The company reported actual EPS of \$2.43 for Q4 2014, compared to year-ago EPS of \$0.55 in Q4 2013. If this company is excluded, the earnings growth rate for the sector would fall to 12.0%.

Telecom Services: Ex-Verizon, Growth Rate Drops to 1%

The Telecom Services sector is reporting the second highest earnings growth rate of all ten sectors at 21.1%. At the company level, Verizon Communications is the largest contributor to earnings growth for the sector. The company reported actual EPS of \$0.71 for Q4 2014, compared to year-ago EPS of \$0.66. If Verizon is excluded, the earnings growth rate for the sector would drop to 1.2%.

Energy: Broad-Based Decline in Earnings

The Energy sector is reporting the largest year-over-year decline in earnings (-21.5%) of all ten sectors. Five of the seven sub-industries are reporting a year-over-year drop in earnings: Coal & Consumable Fuels (-57%), Oil & Gas Exploration & Production (-36%), Integrated Oil & Gas (-35%), Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation (-26%), and Oil & Gas Drilling (-9%). The only two sub-industries reporting earnings growth in the sector are the Oil & Gas Equipment & Services (23%) and Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing (19%) sub-industries (please see page 2 for more details).

This sector is also the largest detractor to earnings growth for the S&P 500 as a whole. If the Energy sector is excluded, the blended earnings growth rate for the S&P 500 would jump to 6.0% from 3.0%.

Blended Revenue Growth: 1.6%

The blended revenue growth rate for Q4 2014 is 1.6%, which is above the revenue growth rate of 1.1% on December 31. Upside revenue surprises reported by companies in the Information Technology and Health Care sectors account for most of the increase in revenue growth since the end of the fourth quarter. Eight sectors are reporting revenue growth for the quarter, led by the Health Care sector. Two sectors are reporting year-over-year declines in revenue, led by the Energy sector.

The Health Care sector is reporting the highest revenue growth of all ten sectors at 11.2%. All six industries in the sector are reporting or are projected to report sales growth for the quarter. Three of these six industries are reporting or are projected to report double-digit sales growth: Biotechnology (43%), Health Care Providers & Services (14%), and Health Care Technology (14%).

On the other hand, the Energy (-14.5%) sector is reporting the largest year-over-year decrease in sales for the quarter. Four of the seven sub-industries in the sector are reporting a decrease in revenue: Integrated Oil & Gas (-22%), Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing (-17%), Oil & Gas Drilling (-4%), and Oil & Gas Exploration & Production (-3%). This sector is also the largest detractor to revenue growth for the S&P 500 as a whole. If the Energy sector is excluded, the blended revenue growth rate for the S&P 500 would jump to 4.3% from 1.6%.

Q4 2014 Earnings Season: Themes

“The following factors impact our guidance; on the macroeconomic front, the U.S. economy is strong and is projecting stronger growth than reported in recent years. On the other hand, Europe, China, and Japan are experiencing reductions in growth. The dollar is strong, which has pluses and minuses. Similarly, oil prices are low, which also has positive and negative economic effects.” –Linear Technology (Jan. 14)

Economic Themes: U.S., Europe, and China

United States

With the exception of a decline in Q1 2014, economic growth in the U.S. has been relatively consistent in recent quarters. From Q3 2013 through Q3 2014 (excluding the first quarter of 2014), quarter-over-quarter (SAAR) GDP growth in the U.S. has averaged 4.4%. For Q4 2014, however, quarter-over-quarter (SAAR) GDP growth in the U.S. for Q4 2014 fell to 2.6%. This is the second lowest GDP growth rate for the U.S. since Q2 2013 (1.8%).

The U.S. remains the key geographic market for the S&P 500. According to FactSet Geographic Revenue Exposure data (based on the most recent fiscal year data), companies in the S&P 500 in aggregate generate about 69% of sales from the North America, almost all of which comes from the U.S.

“While we serve our customers throughout the world, 97% of our revenue comes from the United States. And there have been many signs of strength in the U.S. economy. GDP growth accelerated during 2014 and may be even stronger in 2015. Last year was the strongest year of job growth in 15 years, and December was the 50th consecutive month of job creation, unprecedented in U.S. history.” –Wells Fargo (Jan. 14)

“So, I think when you look overall, we think business is, if we look vis-à-vis the past couple of years, we think the macro outlook, particularly in the United States is a bit stronger. We think, we sense we're feeling that a little bit from U.S. customers. When I was driving home last night, they said this survey they do of the confidence level of American businessmen was at the highest it's been since 2008, this commentary I heard on the news driving home.” –Linear Technology (Jan. 12)

I'm extremely pleased with the sustainability of our performance in the United States. It is very important. It's the largest market of Accenture, and it is, I would say, the global market where things are happening in our industry. This is where things are happening from a digital standpoint, from an innovation standpoint, as well from a disruption standpoint, from an energy standpoint... And it's, for us, all goodness that we are doing so well in the U.S. We are gaining market share and has been the case now for the last four years. So it's not the story of a quarter.” –Accenture (Dec. 18)

“Now let's turn to a few of our key geographies. In North America, we delivered another great quarter with Q2 revenue growth of 16%.” –NIKE (Dec. 18)

Europe:

There has been little to no economic growth in Europe in recent quarters. Over the past four quarters (Q4 2013 – Q3 2014), quarter-over-quarter GDP growth in the Eurozone has averaged 0.2%. According to FactSet Economic estimates, this trend is expected to continue in Q4 2014. The mean estimate for quarter-over quarter GDP growth in the Eurozone for Q4 2014 is currently 0.2%.

Europe is still an important geographic market for the S&P 500. According to FactSet Geographic Revenue Exposure data (based on the most recent fiscal year data), companies in the S&P 500 in aggregate generate about 13% of sales from Europe (combination of European Union and non-European Union countries)

"...clearly we feel the Costa recovery was impaired by the environment and economic environment in Europe, but we had a good year in Costa. We had an excellent improvement in profitability and expect to grow again next year." –Carnival Corporation (Dec. 19)

"I'm extraordinarily impressed with what we are doing in Europe. And I'm mentioning impressed because we all know that the economic context and conditions in Europe are very different from the U.S. And driving 9% local currency growth in the European market is very significant, including growth in our most mature market. I mean growing in Italy, double-digit; growing in France, double digit; growing in Germany, double-digit. It's a big achievement for Accenture." –Accenture (Dec. 18)

"In Western Europe, Q2 revenues increased 24% on a currency-neutral basis. The growth was broad-based, as every territory increased at a double-digit rate. Every key category also grew, led by double-digit growth for sportswear, football, and running." –NIKE (Dec. 18)

China

On a year-over-year basis, economic growth in China has been slowly declining in recent quarters. In Q3 2013, year-over-year GDP growth in China was 7.8%. For Q4 2014, year-over-year GDP growth in China dipped to 7.3%.

China continues to be a vital geographic market for the S&P 500. According to FactSet Geographic Revenue Exposure data (based on the most recent fiscal year data), companies in the S&P 500 in aggregate generate about 10% of sales from the Asia Pacific region, most of which comes from China and Japan.

"In Greater China, currency-neutral and reported revenue grew 21%, led by double-digit growth in Sportswear, Running and Basketball. As Trevor discussed, the NIKE Brand continues to lead the market, and we're pleased with the results of our work to reset the marketplace for sustainable profitable growth...Given the continuing benefits of our strategy to reset the market, we now expect full year FY 2015 revenue in China to grow at a mid-teens rate." –NIKE (Dec. 18)

Currency Themes: Stronger U.S. Dollar

During the course of the fourth quarter, the dollar strengthened relative to other currencies, including the euro and the yen. On September 30, one euro was equal to \$1.26 dollars. By December 31, one euro was worth \$1.21 dollars. On September 30, one dollar was worth \$109.70 yen. By December 31, one dollar was worth \$110.90 yen.

The dollar has also strengthened relative to year-ago values for both currencies. In the year-ago quarter (Q4 2013), one euro was equal to \$1.36 dollars on average. For Q4 2014, one euro was equal to \$1.25 dollars on average. In the year-ago quarter (Q4 2013), one dollar was equal to \$100.39 yen on average. For Q4 2014, one dollar was equal to \$114.44 yen on average. A number of companies have commented on the negative impact of the stronger dollar on revenue and earnings for the fourth quarter and in guidance for future quarters.

"Finally, we do see currency as a continued headwind. We factored into our guidance the headwind of approximately \$0.15 to \$0.20, which was roughly the same rate of devaluation we experienced in FY 2014." –Monsanto (Jan. 7)

"Before I share with you some of the highlights from the quarter, let me provide some background on the impact to our business from the volatile foreign exchange rates. Nearly every currency we do business in weakened against the U.S. dollar when compared against Q3 last year, last quarter or against guidance...These rate changes negatively impacted both the income statement, where we use an average rate for the quarter and the balance sheet, which is translated using spot rates at the end of the quarter. For instance, total revenue would have been \$13 million higher using Q3 rates from last year, a \$11 million higher using rates from last quarter, and \$3 million higher using the rates given in September for guidance." –Red Hat (Dec. 18)

“Turning now to revenues, net revenues for the quarter were \$7.9 billion, an increase of 7% in U.S. dollars and 10% in local currency, reflecting a negative 3% FX impact compared to the negative 2% impact provided in our business outlook last quarter.” –Accenture (Dec. 18)

“Our Consumer Foods segment operating profit, adjusted for items impacting comparability, was \$310 million or up about 7% from the year-ago period...Foreign exchange had a negative impact of \$8 million on net sales and about \$6 million on operating profit for this segment this fiscal quarter.” –ConAgra Foods (Dec. 18)

“And as I mentioned, foreign exchange lowered reported sales by 2 percentage points.” –General Mills (Dec. 17)

“The as reported numbers were heavily impacted by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar in comparison to other currencies. Total revenue saw a 4% currency headwind which would double what it was at the time of my guidance.” –Oracle (Dec. 17)

Commodity Themes: Lower Oil & Gas Prices

During the course of the fourth quarter, the price of oil plummeted by 41.6% (to \$53.27 from \$91.16). The impact of lower oil and gas prices varies by sector, industry, and company. The negative impact of lower oil prices has already been seen in the Energy sector, where the earnings growth rate is -22.6%. On the other hand, a number of companies have cited a positive impact from lower oil and gas prices in Q4, or an expected positive impact in future quarters.

“Allison, we feel very positive about it. There's been some studies that come out that essentially only 10 states have employment that's directly impacted by the oil boom. It's less than 2% of the U.S. population. For us, the crude by rail is less than 2% of our business. For the average U.S. person, it's like getting a tax break of almost \$2,000 a year, so it puts a lot of dollars into the economy. From any indication that we see, it's a positive experience for the American taxpayer, for the American economy, so I think lower crude oil prices is very positive for our economy and very positive for CSX.” –CSX (Jan. 14)

“Well, as I mentioned in my comments, Joe, since the United States is a net consumer, a net importer of oil, we think it's a positive for the U.S. economy. Clearly, that's on average because there's going to be some states that are impacted, some customers are going to be impacted, and some business is going to be impacted. But we serve 70 million customers. Virtually all of them know how to fill a gas tank and go to a gas pump, and that's a real benefit. So we think net-net, it's an opportunity. Surely, there are offsets, like we've said, but even within the oil and gas business, and John mentioned that we are participating there. It's about 2% of our loans. There is still a lot of opportunity for consolidation there and we'll participate in that with our customers. We're looking at our portfolio. We analyze that. We've been through that over the past. But net-net, we think it's a positive.” – Wells Fargo (Jan. 14)

“In summary, fourth quarter non-GAAP EPS was \$0.23 higher than the prior year, driven by an improved net revenue yields worth \$0.11, lower net cruise costs excluding fuel worth \$0.04, and lower fuel prices worth \$0.09.” –Carnival Corporation (Dec. 19)

“Well, I mean, the big kahuna was gas. As I mentioned, I think five of six, or five of seven, or six of seven of our ancillary businesses all had up year-over-year gross margins. Most particularly was gas.” –Costco (Dec. 10)

“Our belief is that the reduced gas prices are giving our customers additional disposable income and helping drive our sales.” –AutoZone (Dec. 9)

Other companies have been more muted or balanced in their comments regarding lower oil and gas prices.

“Overall, oil, a reasonable positive for the economy and consumers, and so for JPMorgan from a financial perspective, a modest issue, positive or negative.” –JPMorgan Chase (Jan. 14)

“I think we've got to keep in perspective that the energy costs – I tried to put that when I responded to Greg at the first question, is not as significant as some may believe; certainly, we would benefit from continued low gas prices. But it's not as significant as I think some people think, because our overall energy costs are 2.5% of revenue, of which the delivery side of it is approximately 1.5%.” –Cintas (Dec. 18)

“As we look forward, like anytime, there are puts and takes. And while it is certainly true that consumers, especially at the lower end of the economic scale, are still challenged, that I think will not change. Having said that, certainly having lower gas prices, if that remains to be the case going forward, that should be helpful, as should the fact that we're lapping reductions in the Snack program, which we started lapping in November. And so those two things I think are positive and help balance out what we still think will be a challenging environment.” –General Mills (Dec. 17)

Now having said that, consumer confidence and so forth is a very big deal in our economy, which is 70% consumption. But just be a little bit careful there about assuming that the economy is off to the races with all of the pressure that's going to be on this oil and gas sector, which has been a huge part of the increase in GDP over the last several years.” –FedEx (Dec. 17)

Looking Ahead: Forward Estimates and Valuation

Q1 Earnings Guidance: Negative Guidance (84%) Above Average

At this point in time, 62 companies in the index have issued EPS guidance for Q1 2015. Of these 62 companies, 52 have issued negative EPS guidance and 10 have issued positive EPS guidance. Thus, the percentage of companies issuing negative EPS guidance to date for the first quarter is 84% (52 out of 62). This percentage is above the 5-year average of 68%.

Earnings and Revenue Declines for First Half of 2015, but Higher Margins Expected

For Q1 2015 and Q2 2015, analysts are now predicting year-over-year earnings declines of 3.1% and 0.4%, respectively. On December 31, analysts were projecting growth of 4.2% and 5.3% for these same two quarters. Most of the decline in the expected earnings growth rates for both quarters can be attributed to analysts lowering earnings forecasts for companies in the Energy sector.

Analysts are also expecting year-over-year declines in revenue for the first half of 2015 as well. For Q1 2015 and Q2 2015, analysts are currently predicting revenues to fall by 2.3% and 2.6%, respectively. On December 31, analysts were projecting growth of 1.6% and 1.0% for these same two quarters.

However, analysts are expecting profit margins to continue to expand in 2015. Using the bottom-up sales-per-share (SPS) and earnings-per-share (EPS) estimates for the S&P 500 as proxies for expected sales and earnings for the index over the next few quarters, profit margin estimates can be calculated by dividing the expected EPS by the expected SPS for each quarter. Using this methodology, the estimated net profit margins for Q1 2015 through Q4 2015 are 10.0%, 10.4%, 10.6%, and 10.7%. These numbers (starting in Q2 2015) are above the net profit margin for Q4 2014 (10.1%), and are also well above the average net profit margin of 9.4% recorded over the past four years.

Valuation: Forward P/E Ratio is 16.9, above the 10-Year Average (14.1)

The current 12-month forward P/E ratio is 16.9. At the sector level, the Energy (27.2) and Consumer Staples (19.7) sectors have the highest forward 12-month P/E ratios, while the Financials (13.2) and Telecom Services (14.0) sectors have the lowest forward 12-month P/E ratios.

The P/E ratio of 16.9 for the index as a whole is above the prior 5-year average forward 12-month P/E ratio of 13.6, and above the prior 10-year average forward 12-month P/E ratio of 14.1. It is also above the forward 12-month P/E ratio of 16.2 recorded at the start of the first quarter (December 31). Since the start of the first quarter, the price of the index has increased by 0.2%, while the forward 12-month EPS estimate has decreased by 3.4%.

Eight of the ten sectors have forward 12-month P/E ratios that are above their 10-year averages, led by the Energy (27.2 vs. 12.0) sector. The only two sectors with a forward 12-month P/E ratio below the 10-year average are the Telecom Services (14.0 vs. 14.9) and Information Technology (15.7 vs. 15.8) sectors.

Companies Reporting Next Week: 67

During the upcoming week, 67 S&P 500 companies (including 2 Dow 30 components) are scheduled to report results for the fourth quarter.

Predicted Earnings Surprises: 24

The FactSet Sharp estimate predicts the direction of upside and downside EPS surprises relative to the mean EPS estimate.

Next Week: 6



For the upcoming week, 6 companies (including Mosaic Company) have a Sharp EPS estimate above the mean EPS estimate (upside positive surprise indicator) for Q4 and no companies have a Sharp EPS estimate below the mean EPS estimate (downside surprise indicator).

Fourth Quarter: 24



For the S&P 500 overall, 19 companies have a Sharp EPS estimate above the mean EPS estimate (upside surprise indicator) for Q4 and 5 companies have a Sharp EPS estimate below the mean EPS estimate (downside surprise indicator) for Q4. The remaining companies in the index do not have a Sharp estimate at this time for Q4 (no surprise predicted) or have already reported actual EPS for Q4.

At the sector level, the Consumer Discretionary sector currently has the highest number (6) and the fourth highest percentage (7%) of companies with a Sharp estimate above the mean EPS estimate for the fourth quarter. The Utilities sector has the third highest number (3) and the highest percentage (10%) of companies with a Sharp estimate above the mean EPS estimate for the fourth quarter..



Q4 2014: Scorecard





Q4 2014: Scorecard





Q4 2014: Scorecard





Q4 2014: Projected EPS Surprises (Sharp Estimates)





Q4 2014: Growth





CY 2014: Growth





Q1 2015: EPS Guidance





Q1 2015: EPS Revisions





Q1 2015: Growth





CY 2015: Growth





CY 2016: Growth





Bottom-up EPS Estimates: Revisions





Bottom-up EPS Estimates: Current & Historical




Bottom-up SPS Estimates: Current & Historical



Net Margins: Current & Historical




Forward 12M Price / Earnings Ratio: Sector Level





Sector-Level Change in Forward 12-Month EPS vs. Price: Since Dec. 31



(Source: FactSet)



Forward 12M Price / Earnings Ratio: Long-Term Averages



Trailing 12M Price / Earnings Ratio: Long-Term Averages



Important Notice

The information contained in this report is provided “as is” and all representations, warranties, terms and conditions, oral or written, express or implied (by common law, statute or otherwise), in relation to the information are hereby excluded and disclaimed to the fullest extent permitted by law. In particular, FactSet and its affiliates disclaim implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose and make no warranty of accuracy, completeness or reliability of the information. This report is for information purposes and does not constitute a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities mentioned within it. The information in this report is not investment advice. FactSet and its affiliates assume no liability for any consequence relating directly or indirectly to any action or inaction taken based on the information contained in this report.

About FactSet

FactSet combines integrated financial information, analytical applications, and client service to enhance the workflow and productivity of the global investment community. The Company, headquartered in Norwalk, Connecticut, was formed in 1978 and now conducts operations from 35 locations worldwide, including Toronto, New York, Chicago, San Francisco, London, Amsterdam, Frankfurt, Paris, Milan, Hyderabad, Mumbai, Dubai, Manila, Tokyo, Hong Kong, and Sydney. To learn more about FactSet, visit FactSet.com and follow us on Twitter: [Twitter.com/factset](https://twitter.com/factset).